



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,082	09/12/2003	Kenneth J. Taylor	19898/21-CON	9804
7590 05/03/2007 Brian L. Michaelis, Esq. Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP BOX IP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			EXAMINER GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/03/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/662,082

Applicant(s)

TAYLOR, KENNETH J.

Examiner

Dangelino N. Gortayo

Art Unit

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

(3) Shivani Kau (Attorney Reg # 50531)l.

(2) Debbie Le.

(4) Brian Michaelis (Attorney Reg # 34221).

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 7.


Identification of prior art discussed: Bamford (US Patent 5,499,367).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Interview went over the difference between a back up process and a recovery process as interpreted by Applicant's representative. Examiner's interpretation is that the back up process in the instant application, when read in light of the claim, teaches a recovery and restore process using a redo log, which is taught by Bamford in a log for recovery. Clarification of a back up process in the claim language was briefly discussed. NO agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


DEBBIE LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/10/07

 4/10/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required